

CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT – CLLR PHILIP WHITEHEAD

HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT SERVICE

OFFICER CONTACT: Kirsty Rose 01225 756182 email: kirsty.rose@wiltshire.gov.uk

REFERENCE: HT-02-17

PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS, VARIOUS LOCATIONS, TROWBRIDGE

Purpose of Report

To consider comments received in relation to proposals to amend The County of Wiltshire (Trowbridge) (Prohibition and Restriction of waiting, taxi rank clearways and on-street Parking) Consolidation Order 2015 to introduce waiting restrictions at various locations within Trowbridge.

Consultation

The proposal was subject to formal advertisement between 25 November and 19 December 2016. During the advertisement period a total of 19 items of comment were received, of which 14 were objections. This report deals with those objections made in relation to the proposed restrictions.

Options Considered

To:

- (i) Proceed with the proposals as advertised
- (ii) Not proceed with the proposals.

Reason for Decision

In light of the objections received, it is recommended that the proposed restrictions at Baydon Close, The Moldens and Conigre are not taken forward. The objections received have merit and highlight residents' concerns that the proposals will either not solve the current issues or that the existing parking does not cause an issue to residents.

The proposed restrictions at The Down should be implemented despite the objection received in order to mitigate the impact of school related parking on this location.

The proposed restrictions at Keates Close should also be implemented to relieve access issues.

DECISION MADE

I approve to:

- (i) Proceed with the proposal as advertised in relation to The Down and Keates Close.
- (ii) Not proceed with the proposal as advertised in relation to Baydon Close, The Moldens and Conigre.

This decision was published on 28 February 2017 and will come into force on 8 March 2017.

The following supporting documents are attached:

- Appendix 1 – Proposal plan
- Appendix 2 – List of objectors and supporters
- Appendix 3 – Comments received and officers' responses

The following supporting documents are available from the officer named above:

None

Date.....27 February 2017.....

.....Signed.....

Cllr Philip Whitehead
Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport

CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT – CLLR PHILIP WHITEHEAD
HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT SERVICE

OFFICER CONTACT: Kirsty Rose 01225 756182 email: kirsty.rose@wiltshire.gov.uk

REFERENCE: HT-02-17

PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS, VARIOUS LOCATIONS, TROWBRIDGE

Purpose of Report

1. To consider comments received in relation to proposals to amend The County of Wiltshire (Trowbridge) (Prohibition and Restriction of waiting, taxi rank clearways and on-street Parking) Consolidation Order 2015 to introduce waiting restrictions at various locations within Trowbridge.

Relevance to the Council's Business Plan

2. The proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) amendment meets two priorities of the Council's Business Plan.
 - Outcome 2 – People in Wiltshire work together to solve problems locally and participate in decisions that affect them.
 - Outcome 6 – People are as protected from harm as possible and feel safe.

Background

3. Various waiting restriction requests were received by Trowbridge Town Council for consideration as part of the Annual Parking Review process. These requests were discussed by the Town Council and a prioritised list produced of those requests supported by them. As a result of delays in the Annual Parking Review process, it was agreed that the Trowbridge Community Area Transport Group could progress the items on the prioritised list using its budget for advertisement and implementation.
4. The requested waiting restrictions were advertised between 25 November 2016 and 19 December 2016.
5. Objections were received in relation to proposals at Baydon Close, Conigre, Keates Close, The Moldens and The Down.

Summary of the Proposals

6. The proposals are:
 - (a) **To introduce No Waiting at any time on the following lengths of roads:**
 - **Charles Street** – north side – from the boundary of property Nos. 14 and 16 Charles Street for a distance of 48 metres in a westerly direction (including turning head).

- **Conigre** – east and south side – from its junction with Upper Broad Street for its entire length.
 - **Conigre** – east side – from the northern boundary of property No. 25 Conigre for its remaining length.
 - **Keates Close** – south side – from the boundary of property Nos. 1 and 3 Keates Close for a distance of 19 metres in an easterly direction.
 - **Seymour Road** – both sides – from a point 5 metres west of its junction with Melton Road for a distance of 74 metres in a westerly direction.
 - **St James Gardens** – north side – from the boundary of property No. 37 St James Gardens for a distance of 14 metres in a westerly direction.
 - **St James Gardens** – north side – from the boundary of property No. 35 St James Gardens for a distance of 14 metres in a westerly direction.
 - **St James Gardens** – west side – from the boundary of property No. 35 St James Gardens to the boundary of property No. 31 St James Gardens.
 - **The Moldens** – both sides – from its junction with Frome Road for a distance of 44 metres in a south easterly direction.
 - **Waterworks Road** – both sides – from its junction with Gloucester Road for a distance of 17 metres in a southerly direction.
 - **Westcroft Street** – south side – from the boundary of property No. 1 Westcroft Street and property known as Twelve Bells Public House for a distance of 19 metres in a south easterly direction.
 - **Wingfield Road** – north side – from the boundary of property Nos. 36 and 38 Wingfield Road for a distance of 22 metres in an easterly direction.
- (b) **To introduce No Waiting Monday to Friday 8am – 6pm on the following lengths of roads:**
- **Baydon Close** – east side – from its junction with Wiltshire Drive for a distance of 58 metres in a northerly direction.
 - **Baydon Close** – west side – from a point 10 metres north of its junction with Wiltshire Drive for a distance of 48 metres in a northerly direction.
 - **The Down** – east side – from its junction with Downside Park for a distance of 170 metres in a south westerly direction.

7. Proposal plans are included at **Appendix 1.**

Main Considerations for the Council

8. To consider the objections received during the consultation period in relation to Baydon Close, Conigre, Keates Close, The Moldens and The Down. A summary of the issues raised, relating to the proposal and officer comments, is included in **Appendix 2.** Details of those who commented are provided in **Appendix 3.**

9. **Overview and Scrutiny Engagement**

There are none in this scheme.

Safeguarding Implications

10. There is no risk to the Council as a result of these proposals.

Public Health Implications

11. There are none with this proposal.

Corporate Procurement Implications

12. There are none with this proposal.

Environmental and Climate Change Considerations

13. There is potential for parking to be displaced to other locations.

Equalities Impact of the Proposal

14. There are considered to be no equalities impacts.

Risk Assessment

15. There is no risk to the Council in relation to the proposals.

Financial Implications

16. All expenditure for the necessary works will be funded from the Trowbridge Community Area Transport Group budget.

Legal Implications

17. The implementation of the proposed restrictions requires the processing of a TRO. The process of introducing a TRO is governed by the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and associated procedural regulations. Failure to adhere to the statutory processes could result in the TRO being successfully challenged in the High Court.

Options Considered

18. To:
- (i) Proceed with the proposals as advertised.
 - (ii) Not proceed with the proposals.

Reason for Proposals

19. In light of the objections received, it is recommended that the proposed restrictions at Baydon Close, The Moldens and Conigre are not taken forward. The objections received have merit and highlight residents' concerns that the proposals will either not solve the current issues or that the existing parking does not cause an issue to residents.
20. The proposed restrictions at The Down should be implemented despite the objection received in order to mitigate the impact of school related parking on this location.

21. The proposed restrictions at Keates Close should also be implemented to relieve access issues.

Proposals

22. To:
- (i) Proceed with the proposal as advertised in relation to The Down and Keates Close.
 - (ii) Not proceed with the proposal as advertised in relation to Baydon Close, The Moldens and Conigre.

The following unpublished documents have been relied on in the preparation of this Report:

None